ELONGATION OF CARBONYL COMPOUNDS BY UTILIZING ELECTROREDUCTION AS A KEY STEP¹⁾

Tatsuya Shono,^{*} Yoshihiro Matsumura, and Shigenori Kashimura Department of Synthetic Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kyoto University, Yoshida, Sakyo, Kyoto 606, Japan

The transformation of aldehydes, ketones and esters to the next higher homologous aldehydes was accomplished by utilizing electroreductive 1,2-elimination as a key step.

The conversion of carbonyl compounds (1) into unsaturated compounds like compounds (2) has been known to be one of the key steps² for aldehyde elongation $(1 \rightarrow 3)$, which is often required in organic synthesis.



We report herein a new facile synthetic method of 2 (X = OCH₃ and SPh) in which the key step is the formation of 2 from hydroxysulfides like 4 or 5 through the electroreductive 1,2-elimination of phenylthic and hydroxyl groups, which we have found in the previous study.³⁾

This method leads to the elongation of aldehydes, ketones and esters to the next higher homologous aldehydes. Scheme 1 illustrates the sequence of the synthesis of vinyl sulfides (2a), and vinyl ethers (2b) from aldehydes or ketones.



 β -Hydroxysulfides (4 or 5) can be synthesized by the reaction of 1 with bisphenylthiomethyllithium⁴) or methoxyphenylthiomethyllithium⁵) according to known procedures.⁶) The electroreductive transformation of 4 or 5 to 2 was accomplished as follows.

A solution of **4** or **5** (10 mmol) dissolved in dry DMF (40 ml) containing tetraethylammonium *p*-toluenesulfonate was placed into a divided cell equipped with a platinum anode and a lead cathode.

After 4 F/mol of electricity⁷) was passed, the reaction mixture was worked up to give **2a** or **2b** in moderate or high yields, which are shown in the Table 1 along with yields of **4** and **5**.

The fact that the yields of 2a are generally lower than 2b may be explained by the difference of stability of 2a and 2b under the reduction conditions.⁸⁾

Furthermore, the versatility of this method is exemplified by the transformation of esters (6) to vinyl sulfides (2a) as shown in Scheme 2.

Scheme 2

$$R^{1}CO_{2}R^{3} \xrightarrow{(PhS)_{2}CHLi} R^{1}COCH \xrightarrow{SPh} NaBH_{4} + 4 (R^{2}=H) \xrightarrow{+ 2e} 2a (R^{2}=H)$$

6 7

$$R^{3}=CH_{3} \text{ or } CH_{2}CH_{3}$$

Esters **6** were easily transformed to **4** ($\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{H}$) through the reaction with bisphenylthiomethyllithium⁴) followed by reduction with methanolic NaBH₄.

The reaction of methoxyphenylthiomethyllithium with 6, however, gave the desired compound 8 in a poor yield. Yields of 2a ($R^2 = H$) from 6 are summerized in Table 2.

R ¹ COCHSPh J OMe	8
l OMe	8

Table 1. Yields ^{a,b)} of β-Hydroxysulfides and Unsaturated Compounds.						
Carbonyl Compounds (1)	4	2a	5	2b		
PhCH ₂ CH ₂ CHO	87	61	93	96		
n-C ₉ H ₁₉ CHO	92	68	96	98		
n-C6 ^H 13 ^{CHO}	88	60	97	90		
citronellal	87	63	88	98		
cyclohexanone	77	61	99	92		
CH3COCH2CH(CH3)2			94	91		
C	_	_	99	92		

a) Isolated yields (%). b) All products gave satisfactory spectroscopic data and elemental analysis for assigned structures.

Table 2.	Synthesis of U	Insaturated Sulfid	es from Esters. ^{a,b)}
Esters (6)	7	4 ($\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{H}$)	2a ($R^2 = H$)
PhCH ₂ CH ₂ CO ₂ CH ₃	87	99	61
$^{\mathrm{n-C}}7^{\mathrm{H}}15}\mathrm{CO}_{2}\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CH}_{3}$	95	98	77
сн ₃ 0 ₂ с(сн ₂)со ₂ сн ₃	81	98	69 ^{c)}

a) Isolated yields(%).

b) All products gave satisfactory spectroscopic data and elemental analysis for assigned structures.

c) The isolated yields were caluculated at the stage when 6 F/mol of electricity was passed.

Because of the simplicity of the manipulation and good yields, this method is promising in the synthesis of homologous aldehydes from ketones, aldehydes or esters.

References and Notes

- 1) Electroorganic Chemistry. 43.
- 2) The elongation of carbonyl compounds has been accomplished by several methods: (a) The conversion of glycidic ester, M. S. Newman and B. J. Magerlain, Org. Reactions, 5, 413 (1949). (b) The ring opening of epoxides, E. J. Corey and M. Chavkovsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 867 (1962). (c) The Wittig Reaction, S. G. Levine, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 6150 (1958), A. Maercker, Org. Reactions, 14, 270 (1965); A. F. Kluge, Tetrahedron Lett., 1978, 3629, and references cited therein. The methods (a) and (b) are, however, not necessarily satisfactory in yields, and furthermore, all the methods cited above are not applicable to the transformation of esters to their next higher homologues aldehydes.
- 3) T. Shono, Y. Matsumura, S. Kashimura, and H. Kyutoku, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1978**, 2807.
- 4) E. J. Corey and D. Seebath, J. Org. Chem., 31, 4097 (1966).
- 5) B. M. Trost and C. H. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 7182 (1975).
- 6) The reaction of 1 with bisphenylthiomethyllithium or methoxyphenylthiomethyllithium was carried out in a similar way to the Corey's method.⁴⁾
- 7) Cathode potential was 2.5 V vs. SCE.
- 8) Unsaturated sulfides were consumed to some extent under the reduction conditions, whereas unsaturated ethers were stable.
- 9) The reaction of 6 with bisphenylthiomethyllithium was carried out according to the reported procedure.⁵⁾

(Received in Japan 25 January 1980)